

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/790,679	03/03/2004	Toshinori Tsukamoto	107101-00055	2279	
7590 03/15/2006			EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT		
Suite 400 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20036-5339			2855		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/790,679	TSUKAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric S. McCall	2855	

		Eric S. McCall		2855	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FIL	ED <u>08 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN COND	DITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
this applic	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o ation, applicant must timely file one of the fo application in condition for allowance; (2) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl ds:	ollowing replies: (1) an am a Notice of Appeal (with a	nendment, aff opeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	eriod for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
no eve Exami TWO N	riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the notion of the statutory period for reply exponer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	oire later than SIX MONTHS () or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX EP 706.07(f).	from the mailing (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The of the date for purposes of determining the period of 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of ove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 PEAL	of extension and the correspo the shortened statutory perio later than three months after	onding amount of for reply original or in the contract of the	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the N	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in colotice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be to	extension thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejecti	on, but prior to the date of	f filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
	y raise new issues that would require furthe				
· · · ==	y raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE I	• .			
app	y are not deemed to place the application in eal; and/or	•	•		the issues for
(d) 🔲 The	y present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding numbe	er of finally rej	ected claims.	
	TE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR				
==	idments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		ce of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	's reply has overcome the following rejectio				
non-allowa	oposed or amended claim(s) would bable claim(s).		·	•	
how the n	ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): ew or amended claims would be rejected is of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			l be entered and an e	explanation of
	bjected to:				
Claim(s) re	ejected:				
	vithdrawn from consideration:				
	OTHER EVIDENCE	to the free to the state of the	. f. f. l N.	- t' ¢ A 1 20	4 h 1 1
because a	vit or other evidence filed after a final action applicant failed to provide a showing of good arlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	i, but before or on the date I and sufficient reasons w	e of filling a Ne	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affida entered be	vit or other evidence filed after the date of fi ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed good and sufficient reasons why it is neces	to overcome all rejections	s under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
	avit or other evidence is entered. An explan	ation of the status of the	claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	est for reconsideration has been considered				nce because:
12.	attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1	449) Paper N	lo(s)	
			٠ .	AULL	
				Eric S. McCall	
				Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2855	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant's proposed amendments to claims 1, 4, and 10, if entered, would raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. For example, proposed claim 1, which would now include the subject matter of claim 2, also includes limitations that were not previously considered. Limitations of claim 4 have been eliminated and limitations have been added to claim 10.